<i>X</i> —H· · · O	X—H	<i>X</i> ···O	H···O	<i>X</i> —H···O
$N(11) \rightarrow H(11) \cdots O(1)^{i}$	0.91 (4)	2.884 (4)	2.06 (5)	150 (3)
$N(11) - H(11) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2)^{i}$	0.91 (4)	2.984 (3)	2.28 (3)	135 (4)
$N(17) - H(172) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1)^{i}$	0.87 (4)	2.917 (3)	2.13 (3)	149 (4)
$N(17) - H(171) - O(2)^{ii}$	0.79 (4)	2.976 (3)	2.21 (4)	164 (4)
$C(16)$ — $H(16) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2)^i$	0.98 (3)	3.167 (4)	2.56 (4)	121 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) -1 + x, y, z; (ii) x, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $\frac{1}{2} + z$.

The densities of the compounds were measured by flotation in a CHBr₃/n-C₆H₁₄ mixture. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Only a unique data set was collected. The structure was solved by Patterson methods and subsequent Fourier synthesis maps. The data refined with isotropic displacement parameters were corrected for absorption. A weighting scheme of type $w = w_1 \cdot w_2$ with $w_1 = k_1/(a)$ $(+b|F_o|)^2$ and $w_2 = k_2/(c + d\sin\theta/\lambda + e\sin^2\theta/\lambda)$ was used to obtain flat dependence in $\langle w \Delta^2 F \rangle$ versus $\langle F_{\theta} \rangle$ and versus $\langle \sin \theta / \lambda \rangle$ (PESOS: Martínez-Ripoll & Cano, 1975). The coefficients used were $k_1 = 0.403$; $k_2 = 0.792$; a = 1.369, b = -0.518 for $|F_a| < 0.403$ 1.15; a = 0.766, b = -0.098 for $1.15 < |F_a| < 3.07$; a = 0.463, b = -0.026 for $3.07 < |F_o| \le 5.37$; a = 0.188, b = 0.031 for 5.37 $< |F_o| \le 21.58; a = -0.143, b = 0.050$ for $|F_o| > 21.58; c =$ 7.986, d = -35.299, e = 40.918 for $\sin\theta/\lambda \le 0.54$; c = 2.106, d = -2.380, e = 0.000 for $0.54 < \sin\theta/\lambda \le 0.61; c = -5.201,$ d = 9.467, e = 0.000 for $0.61 < \sin\theta/\lambda < 0.73; c = 0.752, d =$ -0.906, e = 0.746 for $\sin\theta/\lambda > 0.73$. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. All H atoms were clearly visible in a difference Fourier synthesis and were refined isotropically. Most calculations were carried out using the XRAY76 system (Stewart, Machin, Dickinson, Ammon, Heck & Flack, 1976) running on a MicroVAX II computer.

We are grateful for financial assistance from UPV/EHU (Grant No. 169.310–E180/91).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55686 (32 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: MU1013]

References

- Coucouvanis, D., Baezinger, N. C. & Johnson, S. M. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 3875–3886.
- Cox, E. G. Wardlaw, W. & Webster, K. C. (1935). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 1475–1485.
- Desiraju, G. R. (1991). Acc. Chem. Res. 24, 290-296.
- Enjalbert, R., Gleizes, A. & Galy, J. (1987). C. R. Acad. Sci. 305, 1353-1360.
- Frasse, C., Trombe, J.-C., Gleizes, A. & Galy, J. (1985). C. R. Acad. Sci. 300, 403-406.
- Martínez-Ripoll, M. & Cano, F. H. (1975). PESOS. Program for the automatic treatment of weighting schemes for least-squares refinement. Instituto Rocasolano, CSIC, Serrano 119, 26006 Madrid, Spain.
- Palace, H. & Willett, R. D. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 1497-1502.
- Román, P., Beitia, J. I., Luque, A. & Gutiérrez-Zorrila, J. M. (1992). Mater. Res. Bull. 27, 339-347.
- Román, P., Gutiérrez-Zorrilla, J. M., Luque, A., Beitia, J. I. & Guzmán-Miralles, C. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 48-51.
- Román, P., Luque, A., Gutiérrez-Zorrilla, J. M. & Beitia, J. I. (1992). Z. Kristallogr. 198, 213–225.

- Stewart, J. M., Machin, P. A., Dickinson, C. W., Ammon, H. L., Heck, H. & Flack, H. (1976). The XRAY76 system. Tech. Rep. TR-446. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.
- Taylor, R. & Kennard, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5063-5070.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 587-589

Chlorobis(*N*-phenylsalicylideneaminato-*O*,*N*)manganese(III): a Manganese Schiff-Base Complex Derived by an Electrochemical Route

C. A. MCAULIFFE AND R. G. PRITCHARD

Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology, PO Box 88, Manchester M60 1QD, England

L. LUACES, J. A GARCIA-VAZQUEZ, J. ROMERO, M. R. BERMEJO AND A. SOUSA

Departamento de Quimica Inorganica, Universidad de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela, 15706 Santiago, Spain

(Received 21 September 1992; accepted 22 October 1992)

Abstract

The five-coordinate manganese cation is sited at the centre of an approximate trigonal bipyramid. Distortions involving, primarily, the axial N atom [N—Mn—N 169.41(9), Cl—Mn—N 98.13(7), 91.57(8)°] accommodate a ligand bite of less than 90° [N—Mn—O 86.8(1), 86.5(1)°] and a bulky equatorial Cl atom [Mn—Cl 2.235(1) Å].

Comment

The chemistry of manganese has attracted considerable attention recently as a result of its important role in biological processes such as photosynthesis (Ashmawy, McAuliffe, Parish & Tames, 1985). This interest has led to structural investigations of several pentacoordinate manganese(III) Schiff-base complexes including [Mn(acen)Cl], acen = $N_{,N'}$ -ethylenebis(acetylacetone imine), (Boucher & Day, 1977), [Mn(salen)Cl], salen = $N_{,N'}$ -ethylenebis(salicylaldiminato), (Pecoraro & Butler, [Mn(salen)(p-nitrobenzenethiolato)] 1986), (Gohdes & Armstrong, 1988), {Mn(salen)[2-(3-oxobutenyl)phenolate]} (Li & Pecoraro, 1989) and [Mn(tetramesalen)Cl] (Oki & Hodgson, 1990). Unlike the title molecule, where the absence of an NN ethyl linkage

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography

Mn1 CII 01

02

N1

N2 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7

C8 C9 C10 C11 C12 C13 C101 C102 C103 C104 C105 C106 C107 C108 C109 C110 C111 C112 C113

has facilitated a trigonal bipyramidal configuration, all the above complexes are square pyramids. The Mn-O bond lengths [1.866(2) and 1.858 (2) Å] are unaffected by this change in geometry but the Mn-N bonds [2.193(2) and 2.158(2) Å] fall outside the range defined by the above complexes (1.970–2.010 Å). A significant reduction is also seen in the Mn-Cl bond length which at 2.235(1) Å is considerably shorter than in [Mn(acen)Cl], [Mn(salen)Cl] and [Mn(tetramesalen)Cl] [2.381(1), 2.461(1) and 2.391(4) Å, respectively]. The Schiff-base C=N bond lengths [average 1.294(3) Å] agree with a value of 1.30 Å proposed by Brown, Towns & Trefonas (1970) and the C-O bonds [average 1.322(3) Å] are intermediate between single and double bonds (Berthier & Serre, 1966). The shrinkage of the phenolate C-C bonds (which are most distant from the metal) is in accordance with observations made by Lingafelter & Braun (1966).



Fig. 1. View of the title molecule showing atomic numbering scheme drawn using ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

Experimental	
Crystal data	
$[Mn(C_{13}H_{10}NO)_2Cl]$ $M_r = 482.85$ Triclinic $P\overline{1}$ a = 9.688 (3) Å b = 10.669 (3) Å c = 12.447 (5) Å $\alpha = 69.62$ (2)° $\beta = 67.58$ (2)° $\gamma = 78.86$ (4)° V = 1112 (1) Å ³ Z = 2	$D_x = 1.442 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 20 reflections $\theta = 15.42-17.36^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.716 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 K Plate $0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ Black
Data collection	
CAD-4 diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.016$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$

Absorption correction:	$n = 0 \rightarrow 11$
azimuthal scans showed	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
correction not needed	$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.95, \ T_{\rm max} = 1.0$	3 standard reflections
3991 measured reflections	monitored every 150
3919 independent reflections	reflections
2874 observed reflections	intensity variation: not
$[l>2\sigma(l)]$	significant
Refinement	
Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
Final $R = 0.04$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.025	Extinction correction:
S = 2.08	Zachariasen type 2 Gaus-
2874 reflections	sian isotropic
370 parameters	Extinction coefficient:
All H-atom parameters re-	8.08045
fined	Atomic scattering factors

_ **^**

fined	Atomic scattering factors
Weighting scheme based on	from International Tables
measured e.s.d.'s	for X-ray Crystallography
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.02$	(1974, Vol. IV)

Data collection: Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer control. Cell refinement: Enraf-Nonius diffractometer control. Data reduction: TEXSAN: PROCESS (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985). Program(s) used to solve structure: MITHRIL (Gilmore, 1984). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN: LS. Molecular graphics: TEXSAN: PLUTO, ORTEP. Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN: FINISH.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $(Å^2)$

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

x	у	z	U_{eq}
0.29542 (5)	0.69994 (4)	0.22040 (4)	0.0340
0.07414 (9)	0.68820 (8)	0.37080 (8)	0.0531
0.4695 (2)	0.6789 (2)	0.2575 (2)	0.0481
0.3029 (2)	0.7292 (2)	0.0622 (2)	0.0498
0.3342 (3)	0.4924 (2)	0.2298 (2)	0.0387
0.2840 (3)	0.9151 (2)	0.1766 (2)	0.0409
0.5457 (3)	0.5747 (3)	0.3099 (3)	0.0400
0.5290 (3)	0.4419 (3)	0.3198 (3)	0.0396
0.6123 (4)	0.3356 (3)	0.3773 (3)	0.0487
0.7072 (4)	0.3588 (4)	0.4255 (3)	0.0558
0.7263 (4)	0.4899 (4)	0.4128 (3)	0.0525
0.6478 (4)	0.5949 (4)	0.3561 (3)	0.0473
0.4321 (3)	0.4095 (3)	0.2716 (3)	0.0426
0.2600 (3)	0.4425 (3)	0.1733 (3)	0.0398
0.3437 (4)	0.3895 (3)	0.0794 (3)	0.0460
0.2708 (4)	0.3503 (3)	0.0205 (3)	0.0531
0.1163 (4)	0.3617 (3)	0.0577 (3)	0.0563
0.0354 (4)	0.4117 (4)	0.1532 (3)	0.0585
0.1048 (4)	0.4534 (3)	0.2117 (3)	0.0529
0.2553 (3)	0.8289 (3)	-0.0171 (3)	0.0419
0.2284 (3)	0.9613 (3)	-0.0097 (3)	0.0420
0.1796 (4)	1.0640 (3)	-0.0978 (3)	0.0536
0.1590 (4)	1.0374 (4)	-0.1905 (3)	0.0575
0.1857 (4)	0.9056 (4)	-0.1972 (3)	0.0571
0.2339 (4)	0.8055 (4)	-0.1133 (3)	0.0501
0.2452 (3)	0.9956 (3)	0.0865 (3)	0.0446
0.2849 (4)	0.9739 (3)	0.2640 (3)	0.0420
0.1592 (4)	1.0429 (4)	0.3214 (3)	0.0588
0.1600 (5)	1.0984 (4)	0.4068 (4)	0.0688
0.2876 (5)	1.0826 (4)	0.4357 (3)	0.0649
0.4131 (5)	1.0144 (4)	0.3776 (4)	0.0622
0.4127 (4)	0.9591 (3)	0.2923 (3)	0.0532

T 1.1. O	<i>a</i>		I ON
Table 2.	Geometric	parameters (A, °)

Mn1—Cl1	2.235(1)	O2-C101	1.321 (4)
Mn1-01	1.866 (3)	N1-C7	1.298 (4)
Mn1—O2	1.858 (3)	N1-C8	1.444 (5)
Mn1—N1	2.143 (3)	N2-C107	1.289 (4)
Mn1-N2	2.158 (3)	N2-C108	1.436 (5)
01—C1	1.323 (4)		
Cl1-Mn1-O1	118.81 (8)	O1-Mn1-N1	86.8 (1)
Cl1-Mn1-O2	119.74 (8)	O1-Mn1-N2	92.3 (1)
Cl1-Mn1-N1	98.13 (7)	O2-Mn1-N1	85.0(1)
Cl1—Mn1—N2	91.57 (8)	O2-Mn1-N2	86.5 (1)
O1-Mn1-02	121.44 (9)	N1-Mn1-N2	169.41 (9)

The Schiff base, N-salicyldenephenylamine (HL), was prepared by refluxing equimolar amounts of salicylaldehyde and aniline in chloroform until the expected amount of water was collected in a Dean-Stark trap. After checking its purity using IR and ¹H NMR spectra, the Schiff base was used to prepare [MnL₂phen] by an electrochemical method similar to that described by Habeeb, Tuck & Walters (1978). The electrochemical oxidation of manganese was carried out in a 100 ml 'tall-form' beaker with a solution of 0.27 g of HL (1.37 mmol), 0.121 g of 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) (6.72 mmol) and 10 mg of tetraethylammonium perchlorate in 50 ml of acetonitrile by applying a voltage of 10 V and a current of 20 mA for 1.83 h. The cell can be summarized as $Pt^{-}/CH_{3}CN + HL/Mn^{+}$. The reaction mixture was subsequently filtered to remove metal particles and the filtrate concentrated by low-pressure evaporation at room temperature. The solid, [MnL₂phen], thus formed was washed with acetonitrile and dried. Analysis: found C, 72.7; H, 4.4; N, 8.9. Calculated for $[Mn(C_{13}H_{10}NO)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]$: C, 72.7; H, 4.5; N, 8.9. The electrochemical efficiency of 0.56 mol Faraday⁻¹ derived from the volume of hydrogen evolved at the cathode is compatible with the following reaction scheme:

> Cathode: $2HL + 2e^- \rightarrow 2L^- + H_2$ Anode: $2L^- + phen + Mn \rightarrow [MnL_2phen] + 2e^-$

Tetrachloroferrate-ferrocenium was prepared by the addition of a solution of ferrocene (0.2 mol) in 600 ml anhydrous ethyl ether to a suspension of anhydrous FeCl₃ (0.4 mol) in 300 ml of the same solvent. After stirring, a blue-green precipitate formed; this was filtrated and dried *in vacuo*. [MnL₂Cl] was obtained by the chemical oxidation of [MnL₂phen] with Cp₂Fe⁺.FeCl₄⁻. A solution of Cp₂Fe⁺.FeCl₄⁻ (0.176 g, 0.459 mmol) in acetonitrile was added to a suspension of [MnL₂phen] (0.288, 0.459 mmol) in the same solvent. The reaction mixture was stirred until a clear solution was obtained, which was reduced in volume by 75% followed by the addition of diethyl ether to precipitate a solid. The solid was filtered and the remaining solution concentrated by slow evaporation at room temperature to give X-ray quality crystals of [MnL₂Cl]. Analysis: found C, 65.3; H, 4.0; N, 5.9; Calculated for [Mn(C₁₃H₁₀NO)₂Cl]: C, 64.7; H, 4.1; N, 5.8.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55764 (36 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: L11035]

References

- Berthier, G. & Serre, J. (1966). *The Chemistry of Carbonyl Group*, edited by S. Patasi, ch.1. New York: Interscience.
- Boucher, L. J. & Day, V. W. (1977). Inorg. Chem. 16, 1360-1367.
- Brown, J. N., Towns, R. L. & Trefonas, L. M. (1970). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 7436–7440.
- CSSR (1984). Crystal Structure Search and Retrieval Instruction Manual. SERC Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington, England.

Gilmore, C. J. (1984). J. Appl. Cryst. 17, 42-46.

- Gohdes, J. W. & Armstrong, W. H. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 1841-1842.
- Habeeb, J. J., Tuck, D. G. & Walters, F. H. (1978). J. Coord. Chem. 27, 8-12.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Li, X. & Pecoraro, V. L. (1989). Inorg. Chem. 28, 3403-3410.
- Lingafelter, E. C. & Braun, R. L. (1966). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 2951-2956.
- Molecular Structure corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Oki, A. K. & Hodgson, D. J. (1990). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 170, 65-73.
- Pecoraro, V. L. & Butler, W. M. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 1151-1154.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 589-591

cis-Dichloro(di-*n*-butyl sulfide)(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)platinum(II)

ISABELLE MICHAUD-SORET AND JIŘÍ KOZELKA*

Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques, Unité de Recherche Associée au Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique n° 400, Université René Descartes, 45 rue des Saints-Pères, 75270 Paris 06, France

CLAUDETTE BOIS

Laboratoire des Métaux de Transition, Unité de Recherche Associée au Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique n° 419, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris 05, France

(Received 6 May 1992; accepted 1 October 1992)

Abstract

cis-Dichloro(di-*n*-butyl sulfide)(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)platinum(II) was prepared by reacting *sym-trans*- $[Pt_2Cl_4(PBu_3')_2]$ with two equivalents of di-*n*-butyl sulfide in dichloromethane solution. The complex has a square-planar structure with the two neutral ligands in *cis* positions.

Comment

We are interested in simple platinum compounds containing phosphine and sulfide ligands as models

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography

Ashmawy, F. M., McAuliffe, C. A., Parish, R. V. & Tames, J. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1391–1397.